App. F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 39-26

Previous References (nil)

Serial Nos. 33, 40
41 42

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos

Part B for May 27

SUBJECT

Increasing the tax of the bill Collection of Sind municipal Commissioner from Rs 12 to Rs 15

42 Do, The D. C Jamshoro & the Comptroller to Govt. No C 8120-1/26 dt 10 5 27 Sanctions the recommendation of the municipal Council in the matter referred to above

527

WD 6184—GPB—37.50M—1-9-36
App F III—21

General and Revenue Secretariat

Rev File No. 39 of 1926

Serial No. 33

Letter No 1534 Dy 30-3-27 from the Dy Commissioner Rajkot forwards for the resolution of the Darbar and of Govt increase the pay of the bill collector from Rs 12/- Rs 15/-, the charge being met out of the provision under Contingencies

See paras 11 of G.o No L 58-9 and 139-25-69 Dy 7-7-26, The proposal for increasing the pay of the bill collector was disallowed at the recommendation of the Dc no other reason except that the present pay is insufficient is now given for the proposed increase of pay which is the ordinary 2 the Dc's attention may perhaps be invited to what he has stated in his previous letter & he may be asked to explain the circumstances under which he now thinks fit to recommend.

3

4

5

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No. 39 of 1926

Serial No. 40

Dc Hazaras No L 25-71 Dy 22-4-27 Enquires the circumstances under which the increase of pay to the Tax Collectors as now recommended

41 from the Dc Dundee No 15-34 Dy 26-4-27 States that the increase of pay was recommended on the ruk Counsel again resolved on 5-2-27 to increase the pay of the Tax Collectors from Rs 12 to Rs 15- as his present pay was insufficient to maintain his family

6 The additional cost is proposed to be met out of the provision of Rs 6 under "2 (c) Contingencies". It is not known if any portion of the amount has already been spent which is enough to meet the increase of pay for two months may be given at once. Should provision of the Dc may be asked to suggest some other provision or whether the proposal may be sanctioned as proposed

Apr 30-4-27 Submitted to Hon'ble Sir

Note—Contin Sheet

WD 0 229—(1 B 37500—1)
App 1 [II—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Rec'd File No 37 of 1926

Serial No

Draft sent up

11/5/26 May be appd

11376/4/5 mo 3/5

First Member) Nec 5/5

Issue mo 6/5

42 G.O. No. L 8120-1 Dy 10.5.27 sanctions the increase of pay of the Lwr Collector from Rs 12 to Rs 18 p.m.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRT. B 11/5 LEGAL REMEMBRANCER'S OFFICE

D C. 9]

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT

Dated Tumkur, 30th March 1927

From

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
Tumkur

To

R Ranga Rao, Esqr , B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department,
Bangalore.

Sir

I have the honour to state that the President, Municipal Council, Sira in his No 65 dated the 3/4th March 1927 reports that the Municipal Council, Sira, at their meeting held on 5-2-27 have resolved that the pay of the bill collector may be raised from Rs 12/- to Rs 15/- per month and that the additional cost may be met by reappropriation of provision under 2 (c) "Contingent Head" provided for in the current year A copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council is enclosed

I therefore, request that early Government Sanction to the proposal of the Municipal Council to raise the pay of the bill collector from Rs 12/- to Rs 15/- may kindly be accorded

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

5

[P F WL. 61]

SUBJECT ..

Section Rel
File No 39-26
Serial No. 40

Diary No of letter replied to

No. 17571

To The Dc Ramnad

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Sir

With reference to your letter No 1534 dy 30-3-27 requesting Sanction to increase the Scale of the Bill Collector in the Sivaganga Taluk Board from Rs 12-5-Rs 15-5 pm, I am directed to invite your attention to your letter No M.C. 198 dy 27-4-26 forwarding the Budget Estimates of the Sivaganga Taluk Board for 1926-7 and to state that in the course of

Date of issue 22-4-27

Signed by

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

12

Observations [illegible] with that
[illegible] it was noted that,
There was no reason to increase
the pc, of the two collectors
[illegible] circumstances
under which the increase
of [illegible] the two collectors
as now recommended may
[illegible] be explained [illegible] stated
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

D C 9]

Ag 1524/27

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT

Dated Tumkur, 26th April 1927

5350

From

K. V ANANTAS Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Co Tumkur District,
Tumkur

To

[illegible], B [illegible],
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department,
Bangalore.

L.S.G. 29/4

Mul AR 29/4

Mul 39-26-41

Sir,

With refer ree to your letter No 7571/71 39-26-40 dated the 22nd ir tant, le vest n to be 'l r d of th c'rcu stances under which the increase of Rs to the bill collector of the Sira Municipality from Rs 12/- to Rs 15/- is not reco ended etc , I have the ho our to sta to as foll

2 fter he sub is ion of the bu get fo 1926-27 mf to Covern ent ith y revie , th bil coll ct r of the Sira Munici pelit petitioned the President rec ore requesting that his pay may be raised fro Rs 12/- to Rs15/- The subject as c in cluded befor the Munici l Council at th in meetin held on 5-2-27 and th they have resolved that the bill collector's pay may be raised from Rs 12/- to Rs 15/- per month, on the ground that it is very -- difficult for him to maintain himself and the family especially in these hard days In these circumstances, the prpposal has been submitted to Govern ent for sa ion

I have the ho o to be,
Sir,
Your ost obedient serv t,

For Deputy C ssio r,
Tumkur District

G.R.S VI G 34]

SUBJECT .....

.....

..

Diary No. of letter replied to ..

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue. 10-5-27

Signed by .....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section Rule

File No 39-26

Serial No. 42

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read G O 20-2 58-9 dtd 13-9-25 & 7-9-26 containing the Budget Estimates of the Sea customs for 1926-7 and among other items disallowing the proposal to increase the duty of the Bill collectors from Rs 12 to Rs 15 p.m.

Order Thereon No. 2. Correspondence ending with letter 20 A 15-34 dt 26-4-27 from the Collector of Customs, Madras, stating that the Bill collectors [illegible]

9

representation from an
~~[illegible] increase of [illegible]~~
pay to Rs 45 on the ground
~~that her present pay is~~
~~inappropriate~~. The Asst Cl
have resolved at their meeting
held on 5.2.27 to recommend
pay to Rs 45 and recommend
that the proposal may be
sanctioned, the extra cost being
met by reappropriation
of provision under
2(C) Contingent charges
in the current year's budget
of the Asst Cl.

List of enclosures.

Order No L8120-1 dt

Sanctioned

Copied by VDK

Examined by

To the Asst Cl Accountant and Secy
Mun. Committee Civil